PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: The Ohio State University

STATE: OH

PROJECT TITLE Biomass Gasification for Chemicals Production Using Chemical Looping Techniques

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number USDA-NIFA-9008-004957

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0007530

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007530-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training dissemination and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Ohio State University (OSU) to design, construct and operate a 10 kilowatt-thermal (kWth), commercially scalable, sub-pilot Biomass to Syngas (BTS) system and complete a comprehensive techno-economic analysis of the BTS process using methanol production as an example.

The proposed project activities would include the design, modeling, development, fabrication and in-lab testing of an advanced biomass conversion to chemicals process using the chemical looping technique. The design, testing and development activities would occur at OSU research facility on campus in Columbus, OH. Fabrication would be completed by a licensed fabricator experienced with constructing chemical looping reactor systems. System engineering analyses and economic assessments would be performed at Nexant's offices in San Francisco, CA. All facilities utilized in the proposed project are pre-existing and purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed; therefore, no adverse impacts to sensitive resources are expected as a result of the proposed project. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

The proposed project would necessitate the handling of hazardous product gases (CO) from the gasification of biomass. Non-sparking induction fans would drive and dilute the produced gases from the sub-pilot testing to be emitted to atmosphere away from test facility. Gas area detectors would be incorporated in the facility with safety protocols/system interlocks in place to terminate system operations if combustible and/or hazardous gases are detected. Wastes produced would be limited to standard office waste and packaging materials which would be disposed of through normal municipal waste streams, and metal oxides which would be disposed of by the University environmental health and safety office.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Bioenergy Technologies office

This determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord, 08/15/2016

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM C	ONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECI	SION.	
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	8/29/2016
supply and densing bludies), and minimation on stid distribution, and clear poor training	NEPA Compliance Officer	on canomatica de la contraction de la contractio	acalysis, and
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	TION		
☐ Field Office Manager review required			
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MA	ANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWIN	NG REASON:	
Proposed action fits within a categorical example. Manager's attention.	sclusion but involves a high profile or controver	sial issue that warran	ts Field Office
	category and therefore requires Field Office Ma	nager's review and de	termination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH	H THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:	netterier	
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	

Field Office Manager